Determining Comparative Advantage

Voluntary trade between two individuals or two countries occurs if both parties feel that they will benefit. Producers have an incentive to make products for which they have a lower opportunity cost than other producers. When both producers specialize according to their comparative advantage, they increase the total amount of goods and services that are available for consumption. To determine who has a comparative advantage in producing a particular item, we need to calculate each producer’s opportunity costs of creating the items. The way we calculate opportunity cost depends on how the productivity data are expressed.

There are two ways to measure productivity: the “input method” and the “output method.” We can calculate the quantity of output produced from a given amount of inputs, or we can measure the amount of inputs necessary to create one unit of output. Examples of output are tons of wheat per acre, miles per gallon, words per minute, apples per tree, and televisions produced per hour. Examples of input are number of hours to do a job, number of gallons of paint to paint a house, and number of acres to feed a horse. We will work through an example that expresses productivity from the perspectives of an input measure and an output measure.

Part A: Two Approaches to Comparative Advantage

**Student Alert:** In using these models to determine the lower opportunity costs from both an input and output viewpoint, you must pay attention to the format of the chart. It makes a difference!

**Input Method**

The “input method” provides data on the amount of resources needed to produce one unit of output. Table 1-3.1 gives productivity information for Ted and Nancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1-3.1</th>
<th>Productivity Data Using the Input Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time required to produce one radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ted has an *absolute advantage* in the production of both radios and wheat because he uses fewer resources (time) to produce each item than does Nancy. Even though this might suggest that Ted cannot benefit from trade with Nancy, our examination of the opportunity costs of production will show that is not the case.
Table 1-3.2 shows the opportunity costs for each producer. To find the opportunity cost of producing one radio, the amount of resources it takes to produce a radio goes above the amount of resources that it takes to produce a bushel of wheat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Opportunity cost of producing one radio</th>
<th>Opportunity cost of producing one bushel of wheat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ted</strong></td>
<td>1 radio = $\frac{20 \text{ minutes}}{5 \text{ minutes}} = 4 \text{ bushels}$</td>
<td>1 wheat = $\frac{5 \text{ minutes}}{20 \text{ minutes}} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ radio}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nancy</strong></td>
<td>1 radio = $\frac{30 \text{ minutes}}{15 \text{ minutes}} = 2 \text{ bushels}$</td>
<td>1 wheat = $\frac{15 \text{ minutes}}{30 \text{ minutes}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ radio}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the 20 minutes it takes Ted to produce one radio, he instead could have produced four bushels of wheat. Instead of producing one radio in 30 minutes, Nancy could have produced two bushels of wheat. The fact that Nancy has the lower opportunity cost of producing radios means she has the comparative advantage in radios.

In the five minutes he needs to produce one bushel of wheat, Ted could have made $\frac{1}{4}$ of a radio. Nancy's opportunity cost of producing one bushel of wheat is $\frac{1}{2}$ of a radio. Because his sacrifice in producing one bushel of wheat is less than Nancy’s, Ted has the comparative advantage in wheat production.

If Ted specializes in wheat production while Nancy specializes in radio production, their combined output of radios and wheat will be larger than it would be if each person produced both products.

**Output Method**

The “output method” gives data on the amount of output that can be produced with a given amount of an input. Now let’s take this same set of productivity data and turn it into an output format. To do this, we ask how many units of an item the producers can create with a given amount of resources. Let’s suppose that both producers have one hour to produce each product. Table 1-3.3 shows how many radios and how many bushels of wheat each producer can make in one hour. From this output viewpoint, you once again see that Ted has the absolute advantage in the production of both products. With the same amount of resources (one hour of labor), he can produce more radios and more wheat than Nancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Radios produced per hour</th>
<th>Wheat produced per hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ted</strong></td>
<td>60 minutes $\frac{1}{20 \text{ minutes}} = 3 \text{ radios}$</td>
<td>60 minutes $\frac{1}{5 \text{ minutes}} = 12 \text{ bushels}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nancy</strong></td>
<td>60 minutes $\frac{1}{30 \text{ minutes}} = 2 \text{ radios}$</td>
<td>60 minutes $\frac{1}{15 \text{ minutes}} = 4 \text{ bushels}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
But what about the opportunity cost to produce each item? Check out Table 1-3.4, which shows how to calculate each producer's opportunity cost of the two items. To find Ted's opportunity cost of producing one radio, the number of radios he can produce in one hour goes *under* the number of bushels of wheat he can produce in that same time frame.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ted</th>
<th>Opportunity cost of producing one radio</th>
<th>Opportunity cost of producing one bushel of wheat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 radios = 1 hour = 12 bushels</td>
<td>12 bushels = 1 hour = 3 radios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 radio = 12/3 = 4 bushels</td>
<td>1 bushel = 3/12 = 1/4 radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy</td>
<td>2 radios = 1 hour = 4 bushels</td>
<td>4 bushels = 1 hour = 2 radios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 radio = 4/2 = 2 bushels</td>
<td>1 bushel = 2/4 = 1/2 radio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because Ted's cost per radio is four bushels of wheat, whereas Nancy's cost is only two bushels, we know Nancy has the comparative advantage in producing radios. Ted has the comparative advantage in wheat production since he has the lower opportunity cost of producing a bushel of wheat (1/4 radio compared to Nancy's 1/2 radio). Does this sound familiar? This is the same result we reached using the input method.

The differences in opportunity costs define the limits of a trade in which both parties will benefit. If Nancy specializes in radio production, she will accept no less than two bushels of wheat for one radio. Ted will pay no more than four bushels of wheat per radio. Thus, the "terms of trade" acceptable to both producers must lie in the range between two bushels for one radio and four bushels for one radio. For example, suppose they agree to trade one radio for three bushels of wheat. By producing and trading one radio to Ted, Nancy will have a net gain of one bushel. Her opportunity cost of producing the radio is two bushels and she receives three bushels in return for the radio. Because his opportunity cost of producing one bushel is 1/4 radio, Ted's opportunity cost of producing the three bushels, which he trades to Nancy, is 3/4 radio. Thus, the trade gives Ted a net gain of 1/4 radio. Both producers gain by specializing according to their comparative advantage.

When it comes to producing wheat, Ted would have to receive at least 1/4 of a radio in trade for a bushel of wheat. Nancy would require at least 1/2 of a radio before she would trade a bushel of wheat. The acceptable terms of trade would be found between 1/4 radio and 1/2 radio per bushel of wheat.

The output data in Table 1-3.3 can be used to create production possibility frontiers for Ted and Nancy to show the combinations of radios and wheat each can produce in one hour of work. See Figure 1-3.1.
Part B: Comparative Advantage Exercises

For each of the following scenarios, answer the questions following the chart. The first problem is answered for you.

1. Anna and Barry can grow the following amounts of potatoes and cabbage with a week of labor:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potatoes per week</th>
<th>Cabbage per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anna</td>
<td>Barry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 units</td>
<td>200 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 units</td>
<td>150 units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Is this an example of an input problem or an output problem?

*This is an output problem because it shows how much output each producer can create with a given amount of resources (one week of labor).*

(B) What is the opportunity cost for each producer in making these products?

1. Anna's opportunity cost of producing a unit of potatoes is \( \frac{2}{1} \) units of cabbage.

   \[ 100 \text{ P} = 1 \text{ week} = 200 \text{ C}, \quad \frac{100}{100} \frac{\text{P}}{\text{C}} = \frac{200}{100} = 2 \text{ C}. \]

2. Barry's opportunity cost of producing a unit of potatoes is \( \frac{1.25}{1} \) units of cabbage.

   \[ 120 \text{ P} = 1 \text{ week} = 150 \text{ C}, \quad \frac{120}{120} \frac{\text{P}}{\text{C}} = \frac{150}{120} = \frac{5}{4} = 1\frac{1}{4} = 1.25 \text{ C}. \]
(3) Anna's opportunity cost of producing a unit of cabbage is \(0.5\) units of potatoes.

\[
200 \text{ C} = 1 \text{ week} = 100 \text{ P}, \quad \frac{200}{200} \text{ C} = \frac{100}{200} \text{ P}, \quad 1 \text{ C} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ P} = 0.5 \text{ P}. 
\]

(4) Barry's opportunity cost of producing a unit of cabbage is \(0.8\) units of potatoes.

\[
150 \text{ C} = 1 \text{ week} = 120 \text{ P}, \quad \frac{150}{150} \text{ C} = \frac{120}{150} \text{ P}, \quad 1 \text{ C} = \frac{4}{5} \text{ P} = 0.8 \text{ P}. 
\]

(C) Who has the comparative advantage in producing potatoes? Barry

Barry has the comparative advantage in potatoes because his opportunity cost is lower than Anna's.

(D) Who has the comparative advantage in producing cabbage? Anna

Anna has the comparative advantage in cabbage because her opportunity cost is lower than Barry's.

Note: In this example, each producer has the absolute advantage in producing one item: Barry in potatoes and Anna in cabbage. That might not be the case in the other examples.

2. Henry and John are fishermen who catch bass and catfish. This chart shows how many of each type of fish they can catch in one day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bass</th>
<th>Catfish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>4 bass</td>
<td>6 catfish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>24 bass</td>
<td>12 catfish</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Is this an example of an input problem or an output problem?

It is an output problem because it shows the daily output of each fisherman.

(B) What is the opportunity cost for each person in catching these fish?

(1) Henry's opportunity cost of catching 1 bass is \(1.5\) catfish.

\[
4 \text{ bass} = 6 \text{ catfish}; 1 \text{ bass} = 1.5 \text{ catfish} 
\]

(2) John's opportunity cost of catching 1 bass is \(0.5\) catfish.

\[
24 \text{ bass} = 12 \text{ catfish}; 1 \text{ bass} = 0.5 \text{ catfish} 
\]

(3) Henry's opportunity cost of catching 1 catfish is \(0.67\) bass.

\[
6 \text{ catfish} = 4 \text{ bass}; 1 \text{ catfish} = 0.67 \text{ bass} 
\]

(4) John's opportunity cost of catching 1 catfish is \(2\) bass.

\[
12 \text{ catfish} = 24 \text{ bass}; 1 \text{ catfish} = 2 \text{ bass} 
\]

(C) Who has the comparative advantage in catching bass? John

(D) Who has the comparative advantage in catching catfish? Henry
3. This chart shows how many days it takes the ABC Corporation and the XYZ Corporation to produce one unit of cars and one unit of planes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cars</th>
<th>Planes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC Corp.</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ Corp.</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>12 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Is this an example of an input problem or an output problem?

*This is an input problem because you are told what resources (number of days) are needed to produce one unit of a good.*

(B) What is the opportunity cost for each corporation in producing these goods?

1. ABC’s opportunity cost of producing a unit of cars is \(0.8\) units of planes.
   
   \[1\text{ car} = 8\text{ days} = 0.8\text{ planes}\]

2. XYZ’s opportunity cost of producing a unit of cars is \(1.25\) units of planes.
   
   \[1\text{ car} = 15\text{ days} = 1.25\text{ planes}\]

3. ABC’s opportunity cost of producing a unit of planes is \(1.25\) units of cars.
   
   \[1\text{ plane} = 10\text{ days} = 1.25\text{ cars}\]

4. XYZ’s opportunity cost of producing a unit of planes is \(0.8\) units of cars.
   
   \[1\text{ plane} = 12\text{ days} = 0.8\text{ cars}\]

(C) Who has the comparative advantage in producing cars? \(ABC\text{ Corp.}\)

(D) Who has the comparative advantage in producing planes? \(XYZ\text{ Corp.}\)

4. Here are the numbers of acres needed in India and China produce 100 bushels of corn or 100 bushels of rice each month.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>India</th>
<th>China</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>9 acres</td>
<td>8 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>3 acres</td>
<td>2 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Is this an example of an input problem or an output problem?

*This is an input problem because you are told what resources (number of acres) are needed to produce a given amount of a good.*
(B) What is the opportunity cost for each country in producing these goods?

1. India's opportunity cost of growing 100 bushels of corn is ____300____ bushels of rice.  
   100 bushels of corn = 9 acres = 300 bushels of rice

2. China's opportunity cost of growing 100 bushels of corn is ____400____ bushels of rice.  
   100 bushels of corn = 8 acres = 400 bushels of rice

3. India's opportunity cost of growing 100 bushels of rice is ____33.3____ bushels of corn.  
   100 bushels of rice = 3 acres = 33.3 bushels of corn

4. China's opportunity cost of growing 100 bushels of rice is ____25____ bushels of corn.  
   100 bushels of rice = 2 acres = 25 bushels of corn

(C) Who has the comparative advantage in growing corn? ____India____

(D) Who has the comparative advantage in growing rice? ____China____

5. This chart shows how many cans of olives and bottles of olive oil can be produced in Zaire and Colombia from one ton of olives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zaire</th>
<th>Colombia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olives</td>
<td>60 cans</td>
<td>24 cans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive oil</td>
<td>10 bottles</td>
<td>8 bottles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Is this an example of an input problem or an output problem?  
_This is an output problem because the chart shows how much output can be produced from a given amount of resources._

(B) What is the opportunity cost for each country in producing these goods?

1. Zaire's opportunity cost of producing 1 can of olives is ____1/6____ bottles of olive oil.  
   60 cans = 10 bottles; 1 can = 1/6 bottles

2. Colombia's opportunity cost of producing 1 can of olives is ____1/3____ bottles of olive oil.  
   24 cans = 8 bottles; 1 can = 1/3 bottles

3. Zaire's opportunity cost of producing 1 bottle of olive oil is ____6____ cans of olives.  
   10 bottles = 60 cans; 1 bottle = 6 cans

4. Colombia's opportunity cost of producing 1 bottle of olive oil is ____3____ cans of olives.  
   8 bottles = 24 cans; 1 bottle = 3 cans

(C) Who has the comparative advantage in producing olives? ____Zaire____

(D) Who has the comparative advantage in producing olive oil? ____Colombia____
6. Here are the numbers of hours needed in Redland and Blueland to produce a unit of televisions and a unit of computers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Televisions</th>
<th>Computers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redland</td>
<td>18 hours</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueland</td>
<td>16 hours</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Is this an example of an input problem or an output problem?

*This is an input problem because it states the amount of resources (hours of labor) needed to produce a unit of a good.*

(B) What is the opportunity cost for each country in producing these goods?

1. Redland’s opportunity cost of producing 1 unit of televisions is **3** units of computers.
   \[ 1 \text{ television} = 18 \text{ hours} = 3 \text{ computers} \]

2. Blueland’s opportunity cost of producing 1 unit of televisions is **4** units of computers.
   \[ 1 \text{ television} = 16 \text{ hours} = 4 \text{ computers} \]

3. Redland’s opportunity cost of producing 1 unit of computers is \( \frac{1}{3} \) units of televisions.
   \[ 1 \text{ computer} = 6 \text{ hours} = \frac{1}{3} \text{ television} \]

4. Blueland’s opportunity cost of producing 1 unit of computers is \( \frac{1}{4} \) units of televisions.
   \[ 1 \text{ computer} = 4 \text{ hours} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ television} \]

(C) Who has the comparative advantage in producing televisions? **Redland**

(D) Who has the comparative advantage in producing computers? **Blueland**